

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIF	RST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/784,906	. (02/23/2004	Hugo X. Gonzalez		SPIRTN.017C1	6137	
20995	7590	1-1/01/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE 1 2040 MAIN		NS OLSON &	FOREMAN, JONATHAN M				
FOURTEEN		OR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, C.	A 92614				3736		

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
•	10/784,906	GONZALEZ, HUGO X.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jonathan ML Foreman	3736						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Oc	<u>ctober 2006</u> .							
,-	,—							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 U.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11 and 13 - 18</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6) Claim(s) <u>11 and 13 - 18</u> is/are rejected.							
,— , , , —— ,	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)	_							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/784,906

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/7/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as filed teaches the orifice (30) as being the vacuum control (See Page 5, line 10). The stop mechanism is disclosed as reducing the inner diameter but not as selectively controlling the vacuum.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3736

5. Claims 11, 13,16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 2,115,298 to Brown.

In regards to claims 11, 13, 16 and 17, Brown discloses an elongate body (Figures 1) having a proximal end (2x), a distal end (3), and a lumen (2) therethrough, the proximal end being configured to couple with a vacuum source to allow a vacuum to be selectively drawn trough the lumen of the elongate body (Col. 2, lines 1 - 5), the distal end configured to contact a body tissue sample prior to resection (Col. 1, lines 49 - 50) and is capable of housing a collection bag. Brown discloses a manually operable stop mechanism (20) configured to selectively reduce an inner diameter of the lumen and means for activating (18) the stop mechanism. A recitation with respect to the manner in which an apparatus is intended to be employed does not impose any structural limitation upon the claimed apparatus that differentiates it from a prior art reference disclosing the structural limitations of the claim. In re Pearson, 494 F.2d 1399, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1947); In re Yanush, 477 F.2d 958, 177 USPQ705 (CCPA 1973); In re Finsterwalder, 436 F.2d 1028, 168 USPQ 530 (CCPA 1971); In re Casey, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458 (CCPA 1963); Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (BbPatApp & Inter 1987). In the present case, the apparatus as claimed intended to house a collection bag. The claim language "configured to house a collection bag" does not require the collection bag to be part of the apparatus, but merely capable of being associated with the apparatus. Because there is no structure associated with the apparatus as disclosed by Brown which would prevent a collection bag from being placed within the apparatus, the apparatus is "configured to house a collection bag".

Application/Control Number: 10/784,906 Page 4

Art Unit: 3736

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 11 and 14 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,281,229 to Neward in view of US Patent No. 5,019,086 to Neward.

In regards to claims 11 and 14 – 17, Neward discloses an elongate body (Figures 1 and 2) having a proximal end (16), a distal end (10), and a lumen therethrough, the proximal end being configured to couple with a vacuum source to allow a vacuum to be selectively drawn trough the lumen of the elongate body (Col. 2, lines 33 – 39), the distal end configured to contact a body tissue sample and configured to house a collection bag (Col. 3, lines 1-5). The collection bag is formed of silicone (Col. 3, lines 5 – 8). However, Neward fails to disclose the distal end including a manually operable stop mechanism configured to selectively reduce an inner diameter of the lumen.

However, Neward ('086) teaches an elongate body having a manually operable stop mechanism (14c) configured to selectively reduce an inner diameter of the lumen (Col. 2, lines 43 – 45). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the elongate body as disclosed by Neward to include a stop mechanism as taught by Neward ('086) in order to more easily position the device (Col. 2, lines 46 – 48).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 8/7/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that Brown fails to disclose a manually operable stop mechanism configured to

Art Unit: 3736

selectively reduce an inner diameter of the lumen. However, the Examiner disagrees. The wire disclosed by Brown is manually operable an reduces the inner diameter of the lumen by filling space within the inner lumen as the wire is initially activated.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan ML Foreman whose telephone number is (571)272-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

IMLF